

## Recent progress in gastric cancer prevention and GISTAR study

Jin Young Park, PhD

Scientist, Early Detection, Prevention and Infections Branch

Internationl Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization

### **Conflict of interest**

None declared

### OUTLINE

### PART 1

- Gastric cancer a disease of high importance for global health
- *H. pylori* major cause of gastric cancer

### PART 2

- Gastric cancer prevention:
  - Population-based
     *H. pylori* test- and
     treat programs
  - Gastric cancer screening programs

### PART 3

• GISTAR study

Design
Progress
Implications

Gastric cancer remains an enormous public health problem globally

## PART 1



#### Estimated number of new cases in 2020, worldwide, both sexes, all ages



Total : 19 292 789



#### Estimated number of deaths in 2020, worldwide, both sexes, all ages



Total : 9 958 133



#### Mortality - ASR(W) vs Incidence - ASR(W), stomach, in 2020, both sexes, all ages





Data source: Globocan 2020 Graph production: Global Cancer Observatory (http://gco.iarc.fr)



#### Gastric cancer: age-standardised (world) incidence rates by year for cancer registries in CI5 I-X



Source: Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, CI5plus, 2014, International Agency for Research on Cancer

Estimated number of new cases from 2020 to 2040, Both sexes, age [0-85+] Stomach World





International Agency for Research on Cancel World Health Organization

IARC - All Rights Reserved 2020

Estimated number of deaths from 2020 to 2040, Both sexes, age [0-85+] Stomach World

> 2020 2040 \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 769k 1.27M



International Agency for Research on Cancer World Health Organization

IARC - All Rights Reserved 2020

#### 16000 7600 22 000

850 000

180,000

New cases

ourden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018:
vide incidence analysis

New cases

infectious

pathogens

490 000

27 000

8700

attributable to

Global b a worldwide incidence analysis

New cases

550 000

130 000

12000

Catherine de Martel, Damien Georges, Freddie Bray, Jacques Ferlay, Gary M Clifford

Helicobacter pylori

location

Non-cardia gastric cancer

Non-Hodgkin lymphoma of gastric

Cardia gastric cancer

### 90% of non- cardia gastric cancer is attributable to H. pylori infection

New cases

300 000

46000

10000

New cases

infectious

pathogens

270000

8900

attributable to



New cases

infectious

pathogens

760000

36000

attributable to

De Martel et al, Lancet, 2020

### *H. pylori* infects >50% world population

- 4.4 billion individuals infected in 2015
- Persisting infection in LMICs



Hooi et al, Global Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* Infection: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Gastroenterology.* 2017



Data source: de Martel C, Georges D, Bray F, Ferlay J, Clifford GM (2020) Graph production: Global Cancer Observatory (http://gco.iarc.fr/) © International Agency for Research on Cancer 2021



### **Ongoing gastric cancer prevention efforts**

## Population-based *H. pylori* test-and-treat programmes

## **PART 2-1**

*H. pylori* eradication can prevent
30-40% of gastric cancer development

### **IARC Working Group Meeting**



Stomach

**ORIGINAL RESEARCH** 

*Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy to prevent gastric cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis

Alexander Charles Ford <sup>(1,2</sup>), Yuhong Yuan, Paul Moayyedi<sup>3</sup>

Gut: first published as 10.11

	Hp eradio	ation	Contr	ol		<b>Risk Ratio</b>	Ris	k Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year M-H, Rai	ndom, 95% Cl			
1.1.1 Healthy individuals											
Correa 2000-Correa 2001	3	437	2	415	2.7%	1.42 [0.24, 8.48]	2000				
Wong 2004	7	817	11	813	9.7%	0.63 [0.25, 1.63]	2004	+-			
Leung 2004-Zhou 2014	2	276	7	276	3.5%	0.29 [0.06, 1.36]	2004	+			
Saito 2005	2	379	3	313	2.7%	0.55 [0.09, 3.27]	2005				
Wong 2012	3	255	1	258	1.7%	3.04 [0.32, 28.99]	2012				
Ma 2012-Li 2019	41	1130	78	1128	63.6%	0.52 [0.36, 0.76]	2019 -	-			
Choi 2020	10	912	23	914	16.0%	0.44 [0.21, 0.91]	2020	-			
Subtotal (95% CI)		4206		<b>41</b> 17	100.0%	0.54 [0.40, 0.72]	<b>♦</b>				
Total events	68		125								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00;	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.48	, df = 6 (	P = 0.61)	; I <sup>2</sup> = 09	6						
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.13 (P < 0.0001)							Gut. 2020 Dec;69(12):2113-2121				

### **Areas of uncertainty**

- Generalizability of results
- Magnitude of the effect
- Age groups for the intervention
- Impact on cancer reduction in the presence of lesions
- Acceptability/Feasibility
- Recurrence/reinfection in different areas
- Potential adverse consequences
  - Antibiotic resistance
  - GERD/Barrett/Esophageal adenocarcinoma
  - Asthma and other immune conditions
  - Weight gain
  - Alteration in microbiota

### **IARC Working Group Recommendations**

- There is an acute need for countries with high rates ٠
  - To focus more public health resources on gastric cancer ٠
  - To include it within their national cancer control programs •
  - To assess its human and economic impact of preventive • strategies
- Countries explore the possibility of introducing *H*. • *pylori* test and treat programs, considering disease burden, health priorities and cost-effectiveness
- The programs need to be implemented in conjunction with a scientific assessment of program processes, feasibility, effectiveness and possible adverse consequences





Dolardo Herrero, MD

International Agency

Julie Parsonnet, MD

Demantemport of

Medicine, Stanford University Medical

Center, Stanford,

California.

Edute Robert

Greenberg, MD Division of Public

Health Sciences, Free

Hutcheson Cancer

Seattle, Washington

Research Center,

Section of Early

Detection and

Prevention.

Lyon, France.

#### Prevention of Gastric Cancer

for Research on Cancer. ing increasing numbers of older (and thus, higher-risk) individuals in the world. Despite its importance, gastric National Cancer Institute annually spends approximately \$12 million on programs directly related to gasamount is allocated for prevention research.<sup>2</sup> In contrast, the annual cost of treating gastric cancer in the United States, a lower-risk country, is estimated at approximately \$2 billion.<sup>2</sup>

chronic infection with Helicobacter pylori.<sup>4</sup> Although costs.<sup>9</sup> However, the models are limited by reliance on

This year, it is estimated that more than 700 000 Population-based Hpyloritreatment could select for anpeople will die of gastric cancer, making this disease the tibiotic-resistant pathogens in the community, althird most common cause of cancer death globally.1 A- though in many countries, such an effect might be overthough gastric cancer rates have been declining by ap-shadowed by indiscriminate use of antibiotics for other proximately 2% per year, the numbers of cases and human and veterinary purposes. Treating Hpylori will aldeaths are expected to increase in coming years, reflect- ter the overall composition of the intestinal flora; the health consequences are unknown

Screening and treatment for Hpylori is generally accancer receives little attention from research funding ceptable and affordable. An inexpensive serological test agencies or public health organizations. For example, the can determine who may be infected, with a sensitivity and specificity that could be sufficient for populationbased prevention programs. Low-cost treatment regitric cancer, just 0.2% of its budget, and only 10% of this mens using 2 or 3 generic antibiotics plus a proton pump inhibitor for 7 to 14 days can eradicate the infection in more than 80% of cases, depending on the antibiotic resistance patterns of Hpylori within the population.<sup>8</sup> Economic modeling studies indicate that H pylori screen-Of the 989 000 gastric cancer cases in the world ing and treatment strategies are cost-effective under a in 2008, an estimated 770 000 could be attributed to large range of assumptions about effectiveness and

### **Ongoing gastric cancer prevention efforts**

### **Gastric cancer screening programs**

## **PART 2-2**

### Screening as part of early detection efforts

- Early diagnosis: early identification of cancer in patients who have symptoms of the disease
- Screening: seeks to identify unrecognized cancer or pre-cancerous lesions in an apparently healthy target population



https://www.who.int/cancer/prevention/diagnosis-screening/screening/en/

### **Population-based screening of gastric cancer**

#### Upper gastrointestinal series

 A procedure that uses x-rays to take a series of pictures of the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum

#### Esophagogastroduodenoscopy

 A procedure that includes visualization of the oropharynx, oesophagus, stomach, and proximal duodenum

#### Current use

- In Japan, UGI with barium meal (photofluorography) was used in early 1960 and subsequently implemented in the nationwide cancer screening program in 1983
- In 2016, the Japanese government approved biennial endoscopic screening for gastric cancer
- In Korea, the National Gastric Cancer Screening Program was launched in 1999 with UGI and upper endoscopy being the two modalities
- In 2015, the Korean Gastric Cancer Screening Guidelines Revision Committee recommended UGI not be the first choice of modality and biennial screening of asymptomatic participants aged 40-74 years old with upper endoscopy

### **Gastric cancer morality after endoscopic screening in Asia**

Study ID		RR (95% CI)	Percent weight
Jun et al. (2017) <sup>26</sup>	*	0.53 (0.51, 0.56)	20.20
Hamashima et al. (2015) <sup>30</sup>		0.33 (0.12, 0.91)	3.16
Hamashima et al. (2013) <sup>27</sup>		0.69 (0.49, 0.99)	12.42
Matsumoto et al. (2014) <sup>28</sup>	↓ 1 1	0.21 (0.04, 0.96)	1.51
Hosokawa et al. (2008) <sup>31</sup>	•	0.35 (0.14, 0.86)	3.83
Riecken et al. (2002) <sup>32</sup>		1.01 (0.72, 1.37)	13.25
Chen et al. (2016) <sup>29</sup>		0.72 (0.54, 0.97)	14.09
Matsumoto et al. (2007)33		- 0.68 (0.41, 1.11)	9.01
Hamashima et al. (2015) <sup>34</sup>		0.43 (0.30, 0.57)	13.27
Kim et al. (2017) <sup>35</sup>		0.58 (0.36, 0.94)	9.26
Overall (I-squared = $66.7\% P = .001$ )	$\langle \rangle$	0.60 (0.49, 0.73)	100.00
NOTE: weights are from random effects analysis			
.044	i i .597 1	1 1.37	

Zhang et al (2018), *Gastroenterology* 155(2):347-354.e9

Table 1: Comparison of Gastric Cancer Stage of Diagnosis and Survival							Percentage of Early Gastric Cancer Diagnoses																
Country	South Korea Japan		an	United S	ancer	80	I										69		73	71	73		
Years	2006-2	010	2006-2	2008	2010-2014		l Gastric C (%)	70 60						o 54	58	59	62	64		66		-	-
Screening	Biennial Radio Endosc		Biennial Rad Endos		No screening	g program			39	47	41	49	51 5	3 54									
Stage at diagnosis	Distribution (%)	5-year Survival (%)	Distribution (%)	5-year Survival (%)	Distribution (%)	5-year Survival (%)	U G	40 30	-		•	23	24 2	4 25	24	26	25	25	26	24	24	24	24
Localized	51	92.4	48	95.9	28	70.3	Gastric	20							•								
Regional	26	55.7	22	50.0	26	32.0	y Ga	10															
Distant	12	5.5	16	5.7	37	5.8	Early	0	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Unknown	11	49.2	14	<u>0</u>	9	21.8			20	20	20	20	20					20	20	20	20	20	20
All Stages	100	67.0	100	64.6	100	32.1	1							Year	of Dia	gnosis	S						
j							J						South	Korea		<b></b> U	Jnited	d Sta	tes				

Huang et al (2020), Gastroenterology. S0016-5085(20)34993-3

# **GISTAR study-** a European model for gastric cancer prevention

## PART 3





Scientific Advice Mechanism (SAM)

#### Cancer screening in the European Union



2.3 For gastric cancer, population-based screen and treat programmes for *Helicobacter pylori* are only recommended in regions with intermediate to high gastric cancer incidence, there is only a strong rationale for H. pylori test-and-treat strategies in countries with high rates of gastric cancer.

Gastric cancers are strongly linked with infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. Estimates, suggest that around 35-40% of gastric cancer deaths could be prevented through the identification and treatment of *H. pylori* infection. The incidence of gastric cancers in EU members differs significantly (three to four-fold differences), and the countries with the highest gastric cancer incidence and death rates should consider screening for *H. pylori*. Furthermore, it should be ensured that guidelines for endoscopy referral in at risk groups are followed to maximise opportunities for earlier diagnosis.

### Scientific Opinion on cancer screening in the European Union

There is insufficient evidence on the benefits of introducing screening for gastric cancer. However, the introduction of well-designed screen and treat strategies for reducing H. pylori infection could be considered for countries with high rates of the disease.





Multicentric randomized study of *H. pylori* eradication and pepsinogen testing for prevention of gastric cancer mortality- GISTAR

#### Aim

To determine if *H. pylori* screening followed by eradication of positive subjects and endoscopic follow-up of those with serological evidence of atrophic gastritis reduces mortality from gastric cancer in a high risk population among 40-64 years old subjects.

**BMJ Open** Multicentric randomised study of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication and pepsinogen testing for prevention of gastric cancer mortality: the GISTAR study

> Marcis Leja,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Jin Young Park,<sup>4</sup> Raul Murillo,<sup>4</sup> Inta Liepniece-Karele,<sup>1,2,5</sup> Sergejs Isajevs,<sup>1,2,5</sup> Ilze Kikuste,<sup>1,3</sup> Dace Rudzite,<sup>1,2</sup> Petra Krike,<sup>1</sup> Sergei Parshutin,<sup>1,6</sup> Inese Polaka,<sup>1,6</sup> Arnis Kirsners,<sup>1,6</sup> Daiga Santare,<sup>1,2</sup> Valdis Folkmanis,<sup>1</sup> Ilva Daugule,<sup>1</sup> Martyn Plummer,<sup>7</sup> Rolando Herrero<sup>4</sup>

#### https://www.gistar.eu/



### **Current progress of GISTAR**



Group	Women	Men	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	Total
1 - Main group	3089	2104	832	1068	1149	1186	940	5193
2 - Control group	3098	2097	820	1101	1141	1183	930	5195
Total	6187	4201	1652	2169	2290	2369	1870	10388

- Pilot study completed in 2015 in 4 study centers in Latvia
  - 3,455 subjects recruited (1613 men 1842women)
  - *H. pylori* seroprevalence 67.9%
  - Eradication success \* tested by UBT 87%
  - 1,034 endoscopies with full histologic assessment
  - FIT positivity 5.6%

\*The regimen consists of clarithromycin 500 mg (2 times a day), amoxicillin 1000 mg (2 times a day) and a proton pump inhibitor (Esomeprazole 40mg, twice a day) for 10 days

- Main study has been continued in 6 additional centers
  - n=10,338
- To be expanded to other countries

### To accelerate reduction of global gastric cancer burden

- Population-based *H. pylori* test-and-treat programs should be integrated into healthcare priorities, especially in regions with high gastric cancer incidence
- For successful implementation of the programs require cautions:
  - That they should incorporate regional specific requirements in planning, e.g. accurate and affordable diagnostic tests, choice of treatment regimens, feasibility and cost-effectiveness at the regional level
  - That the selection of the optimal first-line eradication regimen should consider country/region-specific antibiotic resistance patterns
- Urgent need for more community-based demonstration studies with a long-term follow-up in various settings
- No data from randomised trials evaluating the impact of various screening strategies on gastric cancer mortality reduction have been reported. Potential harms less well quantitated outside Japan and Korea
- Risk stratification strategies need to be further developed to increase benefits, participation and to reduce costs
- Europe's Beating Cancer Plan and related projects should help accelerate reduction of gastric cancer burden in Europe